

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

Watershed Management Ordinance

Public Information on Proposed Amendment

Presented by

Lolita Thompson, P.E.
Senior Civil Engineer
MWRDGC-Engineering Department
Local Sewer Systems Section



Volume Control and Detention Trading

- Watershed Management Ordinance (WMO) amended on 5/16/19 and 5/7/2020 to allow for volume control and detention trading.
- Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) Article 5 was updated to provide guidance on complying with the technical requirements for offsite volume control and detention facilities.
- The WMO allows for trading to occur throughout Cook County when site constraints or site limitations prevent the development from installing volume control practices or detention facilities onsite.
- The Pilot Program was created to allow trading to occur in the Des Plaines
 River Watershed and the Little Calumet Watershed without having to
 demonstrate a site constraint or a site limitation.
- The Pilot Program is available for projects that submit a permit application and are accepted by MWRD prior to January 1, 2025.



Agenda

- WMO Background
- Clarifications and document improvements
- Noteworthy changes to the WMO
 - Floodplain Regulation Clarifications and New Definitions
 - Updated Wetland Provisions
 Direct wetland impacts, previously mitigated isolated wetlands,
 Corps JD submittal requirements
 - New Wetland/Riparian Environment Verification form
 - Stormwater Management Provisions
 Permeable pavement in volume control trading, upstream tributary flows, open space development
- Public Comments







WMO Background

- 2004: Public Act 093-1049
 - MWRDGC has stormwater authority for Cook County
- 2007-2013: Development and Public Review
 - Technical Advisory Committee and Public Comments
 - Economic Impact Study
- October 2013: Adoption
- April 17, 2014: First Amendment
- May 1, 2014: Effective Date
- July 10, 2014: Amendment Infiltration/Inflow Control Program, Permit Fees
- February 15, 2018: Amendment Clarifications, new Earthwork/Limited Foundation permit, volume control trading on properties with site constraints
- April 4, 2019: Amendment-Extend Watershed Specific Release Rates Effective Date
- May 16, 2019: Amendment Clarifications, Watershed Specific Release Rates, updated Bulletin 70 rainfall data, new redevelopment provisions
- May 7, 2020: Amendment Stormwater detention and volume control trading pilot study, updated Bulletin 75 rainfall data
- 2020/2021 Developing current amendment





Proposed WMO Changes

Public Comment Draft Review

- Red text Information added. Substantial changes annotated in Public Comment Draft.
- Red strikethrough text Information deleted. Substantial changes annotated in Public Comment Draft.



Clarifications and Document Improvements

- Minor Modifications Throughout WMO
 - Change "Cook County Recorder of Deeds" to "Cook County Clerk's Recordings Division"
 - Reflects recent elimination of Cook County Recorder of Deeds
 - Define "IDNR-OWR" acronym and change "OWR" to "IDNR-OWR"
 - Clarifies that "OWR" refers to the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) Office of Water Resources (OWR)
 - Replace references to FEMA Technical Bulletin 10-01 with FEMA Technical Bulletin 10
 - More general description, since the last two digits ("01") will change each time FEMA updates the Technical Bulletin
 - Update section numbering, as necessary



Clarifications and Document Improvements

- § 208.1 Pilot Study
 Detention & Volume Control Credit Trading Program
 - Completion date changed from May 2022 to May 2025
 Determined at November 7, 2019 Board of Commissioners meeting that additional time would be required for study
- Article 3 Submittal Requirements
 - § 300.2 Professional Engineer certification
 Clarifies where a Professional Engineer signature and certification is required

The **Watershed Management Permit** application and plan set submittals shall be certified by a **Professional Engineer**, <u>as required on the permit application form</u>, indicating that the technical submittal meets the criteria required by this **Ordinance**.



Clarifications and Document Improvements

- Article 3 Submittal Requirements (cont.)
 - § 307.1 Remove requirement for recording Exhibit R
 - Current Language: Applicants should record both Schedule
 R and Exhibit R with Cook County
 - However, Exhibit R may change with permit revisions and/or new permits
 - Exhibit R is reflected in MWRD permit records
 - Proposed Language: Schedule R would still be recorded and would reference an equivalent "Maintenance and Monitoring plan", found in MWRD permit records
 - § 303.4 Requirements that currently apply to Exhibit R will apply to the proposed Maintenance and Monitoring plan



Floodplain Regulation Clarifications

- Article 6 Floodplain Requirements
 - § 601.4.D Zone A project-specific floodplain study
 - Clarified that the study should use the models listed in § 601.6 and the TGM study guidelines
 - § 602.1 and § 602.2 Floodproofing of structures
 - Clarified that <u>non-residential</u> structures may be <u>dry</u> <u>floodproofed</u> instead of elevating to the Flood Protection Elevation (FPE)
 - Specified that floodproofed <u>residential</u> structures must still elevate to the FPE, per § 602.1



Floodplain Regulation Clarifications

Appendix A - Floodproofing Definitions

Floodproof or Floodproofing

Additions, changes, or adjustments to <u>residential</u> or <u>non-residential</u> structures or land that prevent the entry of **flood** water in order to protect property from **flood** damage, including but not limited to certified <u>non-residential</u> <u>floodproofing</u> designed in accordance with the <u>municipality's NFIP</u> ordinance and Technical Bulletin 3, issued by <u>FEMA</u>. <u>Floodproofing</u> consists of both <u>dry floodproofing</u> and <u>wet floodproofing</u>.

Dry Floodproofing

A combination of measures that results in a **structure**, including the attendant utilities and equipment, being watertight with all elements substantially impermeable to the entrance of floodwater and with structural components having the capacity to resist flood loads. **Dry floodproofing** may be a compliance alternative for **non-residential structures** only. [Compare **dry floodproofing** with **wet floodproofing** and **floodproofing**.]

Wet Floodproofing

Permanent or contingent measures applied to a structure and/or its contents that prevent or provide resistance to damage from flooding by allowing flood waters to enter the structure. [Compare wet floodproofing with dry floodproofing and floodproofing.]



Floodplain Regulation Clarifications

- Article 6 Floodplain Requirements
 - § 602.3.D Clarified that projects with a LOMR-F still need to meet § 602.3.C
 - § 602.3.C requires buildings and foundations below the BFE to demonstrate that they are reasonably safe from flooding and meet FEMA Technical Bulletin 10
- Appendix A Definitions
 - Accessory Structure Reduced the area limit to 576 sq.ft.
 - Previous limit was 750 sq.ft.
 - Decreased the area to be consistent with IDNR/NFIP
 - Per § 602.4, new accessory structures in the floodplain are regulated under the municipality's NFIP ordinance



- Article 2 Permit Applicability
 - New § 201.1.B Permit also required for <u>direct</u> wetland impacts
 - Currently required for <u>development</u> in wetlands (but not maintenance)
 - Currently also required for development with <u>indirect</u> wetland impacts

§ 201. Applicability

- A Watershed Management Permit from either the District or an authorized municipality shall be required for any of the following projects:
 - Development within a Flood Protection Area;
 - B. Activities with a direct wetland impact;
 - B.C. Development with an indirect wetland impact;



Appendix A - Definitions

- Direct Wetland Impact
 - For ≥0.10 acre ground disturbance or damage to vegetation that affects the functions of the wetland as listed under §603.1
 - Includes both temporary and permanent wetland impacts
 - Does not include wetland conservation, restoration, or enhancement activities
- Temporary Wetland Impact
 - Short-term loss of wetland function
 - From a direct wetland impact
- Permanent Wetland Impact
 - May occur due to direct or indirect wetland impacts



Direct Wetland Impact

Any activity within a wetland that involves ground disturbance or damage to vegetation over an area greater than or equal to 0.10 acre and that affects the functions of the wetland, as listed under §603.1 of this ordinance. Direct wetland impact includes temporary wetland impacts and permanent wetland impacts. Direct wetland impact does not include activities for the conservation, restoration, or enhancement of wetland functions. [Compare direct wetland impact with indirect wetland impact.]

Temporary Wetland Impact

A direct wetland impact that would result in a short-term loss of wetland function. Temporary wetland impacts do not result in a permanent conversion of wetland to non-wetland. Temporary impacts do not include relocation of wetland, or conversion of a vegetated community to open water, unless the conversion is part of an overall wetland conservation, restoration, enhancement, or creation program that is submitted for review and approved. Additionally, for the impact to be considered temporary, wetland soil profiles shall be able to be restored to a similar pre-disturbance condition and elevation, vegetative communities shall have the capability of being restored to the same or higher quality, function; and the restoration must occur within one year of the disturbance. [Compare permanent wetland impact with temporary wetland impact.]

Permanent Wetland Impact

The permanent conversion of wetland area to non-wetland area or permanent modification of wetland hydrology through direct wetland impact or indirect wetland impact. [Compare permanent wetland impact with temporary wetland impact.]



- Article 3 Corps JD Submittal Requirement
 - U.S. Army Corps (Corps) jurisdictional determination (JD) letters used to determine if a wetland is isolated or Corps-jurisdictional
 - § 302.2.D(2)(c) Added alternative to providing a Corps JD letter
 - If Corps JD not received in > 90 days (or documentation from Corps)
 - If wetland is not being impacted
 - May submit a statement of opinion from a qualified wetland specialist, instead
 - How statement of opinion will be applied: New § 603.4
- Article 6 Corps JD Requirement
 - § 603.4 Added provisions on how "statement of opinion" will be used instead of the Corps JD



Article 6 – Corps JD Requirement (cont.)

Scenarios without Corps JD*	Treat Wetland As:	Submission Requirements
• Corps Section 404 permit required	Corps-Jurisdictional	Submit Section 404 permit application
 Applicant presumes Corps- jurisdictional wetland Corps Section 404 permit not required 	Isolated**	 Submit Schedule W and Wetlands Report Wetland Delineation required
 Applicant presumes isolated wetland MWRD presumes isolated wetland No wetland impacts 	Isolated	 §603 & §604 wetland buffer rules apply WMO permit may be issued
 Applicant and MWRD cannot concur on whether isolated wetland 	Isolated (by applicant request) -or- Applicant may choose to await JD	 Submit Schedule W and Wetlands Report Wetland Delineation required §603 & §604 wetland buffer rules apply WMO permit may be issued Applicant assumes responsibility for any/all Corps approvals

^{*} A Corps JD supersedes any opinion from the applicant or MWRD

^{**} If a Corps JD is submitted prior to permit issuance, the JD supersedes the statement of opinion



- Article 6 Isolated Wetland Exemptions
 - New § 603.7.F Isolated wetlands that have already been fully mitigated
 - Authorized for fill by Corps/MWRD and mitigated in full
 - However, never completely filled (project cancelled, etc.)
 - If an isolated wetland, exempt from additional WMO wetland requirements
 - To demonstrate isolated wetland still must provide valid (unexpired)
 Corps jurisdictional determination (JD) letter



Applicability

- Permit applicants who would like MWRD concurrence on wetland and/or riparian environments during preliminary design
- WMO permit applications are not intended for preliminary designs
- Currently, applicants would need to submit a full WMO permit application
- New form create a separate process, similar to what is available in collar counties
- New Wetland/Riparian Environment Verification Form
 - Only for wetland/riparian environment verification; not for proposing/approving development
 - Appendix F New non-refundable fee to be added for the form, \$750
 - No Licensed P.E. or Village permittee is needed
 - Verification approval letter is valid for 5 years
 - § 302.2.D(7) Once the form is approved by either the District or an Authorized Municipality, it can be submitted with future WMO permit applications



WMO Verification Number:

WETLAND / RIPARIAN ENVRIONMENT VERIFICATION

METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO

111 EAST ERIE STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60611 MWRD.org/wmo

INSTRUC 'S FOR C LET VEX 'ATION FORM

This form may conducting an approximate permit the approval date. Submit a \$750 nor date.

NAME AND LOCATION OF ...OJECT

Name of Project (as shown on plans):		
Location of Project (address or with respect to two	jor st	
Municipality (Township, if unincorporated):		
PINs (include all, use additional sheet if necessary):		

- 1. WETLAND VERIFICATION: Per §603.3 of the WMO, at lite w d accion is require
 - A. Submit each of the following documents for all onsite and offs etlands. The Agricultura it is not required if the land has not been farmed within the last five (5) years.
 - 1. Wetland Narrative
 - Aerial Photo with Wetland Boundary flagged/staked^a
 - 3. Wetland Delineation Report from a Wetland Specialist
 - 4. Correspondence from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- 5. For Agricultural Land^b, Natic Service (NRCS) Certified V last 5 years) or Farmed W a Wetland Specialist usin. CS procedures

^a The District can only verify isolated wetlands/waters boundaries. Waters of the U.S. boundaries must be verified by the Corps.

b "Agricultural Land" is land that has been farmed at least one (1) year within the last five (5) years.



B.	B. Use the table below to identify the boundaries, extent, function, and quality of all wetlands to be verified.											
	WETLAND 1		WETLAND 2			WETLAND 3			WETLAND 4			
	Onsite C	Both	Onsite Offsite Both		Onsite Offsite		Both	Onsite	Offsite	Both		
	Size	a	Size: _		acres	Size: _		_ acres	Size: _		acres	
	Is the cland exempt in .7 requireme			Is retland exempt from quirements?			Is the wetland exempt from §603.7 requirements?			Is the wetland exempt from §603.7 requirements?		
	ŦS	7 3	/ <u></u>	☐ YES ☐ NO		☐ YES ☐ 1		NO	YES NO		NO	
	Is the war and to be Corps Jurisdictional		he w	he wetlan umed to ctional?		Is the wetland assumed to be Corps Jurisdictional?		Is the wetland assumed to be Corps Jurisdictional?				
	☐ YES	□ N		E [NO	Y	ES [NO	□ Y	ES [NO	
	High Quality	Standar Quality	I Qı		and	High ualit		andard Quality	High Qualit		standard Quality	
	If a Corps Jurincluding the st					been or on		desc. awaiting i		s of the	Corps JD,	
	DISTRICT OF AUTHORIZED MUNICIPALITY USE ONLY											
Applica	ation Received:			Per	mit Issued	l:		Watersin				
	DED	MIT ISSUED	RV.		TOISTRICT	, [☐ Auth	orized M	unicinality	7		



WETLAND / RIPARIAN ENVRIONMENT WMO Verification Number:													
VERIFICATION													
2.	RIP	PARIAN F RONN. T VERIFICATION:											
	A.	Submit of the follog documents for all onsite and offsite wetlands.											
		1. P	an Envi	ronm	arr	atiy	og the fi	inction of th	ne Riparian	Environm	ent described in §	606.1	
		2.	Photo	with	rian	F nm	en da	ry flagged/	stakeda				
		3. Con		45	ı the J	rmy	Corps	ngineers					
		a The	District	an only	verif	AL.	nde	boundaries.	Waters of the	U.S. bound	daries must be verifie	ed by the Corps.	
	B.	Use the	able bel	ow to	i .	y the	un ciassit	fication	ition	of the Ri	parian Environm	ent to be ver	ified.
		RIPARIAN ENVIRON NT 1								RIPA	RIAN ENVIRON	MENT 2	
		Stream Classification									am Classifica	tion	
			BSC				<u>B</u> s					BSS	
		A 1	3 C	D	E	A	В	C	A F		D E	В	C
									b /				
		Riparian Environment Boundary Location						<u> </u>	iparian E	nvironment B	ary tio	<u>n</u>	
		30 ft fro	m OHW	M 50	ft fron	OHWM	100 ft fro	m OHWM	30 ft from	OHWM	50 ft from	M 100 ft from	m OHWM
]]			



3.	I hereby certify that the wetland, wetland bovver, and/oregarding the aforementioned project described herein has		
	Wetland Specialist:	Email:	Phone:
	Company/Agency		
	Address:	City:	Zip:
	Signature:		Date:
4.	REQUE ROFWET D/J	NVIRONMENT VERIF	ICATION (IF NOT PROPERTY OWNER)
	Requestor:	Email:	Phone:
	Company/Agency:		
	Address:	City:	Zip:
	Signature:		Date:
5.	CERTIFICATE BY PROPERTY OW. R		
•	By signing below, I am stating that all information p	a is c as not be	erec ling to my knowledge. Furthermore,
	I hereby grant the District and/or their agents right-of-acc		ne purpos performing the requested wetland,
	wetland boundary, and/or riparian environment boundar right-of-access approvals for the District and/or their age		per or their ag responsible for obtaining
	Property Owner:	Email:	Phone:
	Company/Agency:		
	Address:		Zi
	Signature:		Date:



- Article 5 Volume Control Trading
 - § 503.4.B(3)(c) Permeable pavement runoff to offsite retention-based practice
 - Currently, the offsite retention-based practice must capture equivalent runoff from a non-tributary <u>impervious</u> <u>area</u>
 - The proposed language would also allow the runoff to be from <u>permeable pavement</u> that has replaced conventional pavement
 - (c) Capture <u>an</u> equivalent <u>volume of impervious</u> stormwater runoff from an <u>impervious</u> area on a parcel that is not tributary to an existing retention-based practice; or from the area of the offsite retention-based practice itself when the practice consists of permeable pavement that has replaced conventional pavement;



- Article 5 Detention Requirements: Upstream Tributary Flows
 - § 504.11.C The provision to increase a detention facility's actual release rate to accommodate upstream tributary flows may not be used for new development
 - Can only be used to retrofit existing stormwater detention facilities when a known flooding condition associated with conveyance of upstream tributary flow exists
 - Still need to meet the "no adverse impact" requirements of § 504.11.C
 - Other options if § 504.11.C is not possible:
 - Bypass upstream flow per § 504.11.A
 - Detain upstream flow per § 504.11.B



- Appendix A Open Space Development
 - Expanded definition of open space development to include "outdoor accessory features" that are:
 - ≤0.10 acre in area
 - Surrounded by a majority of pervious land
 - On property holdings that are < 30% impervious
 - Bodies of water are excluded when calculating the % impervious
 - Provided examples:
 - Playgrounds, restrooms, and open-air shelters
 - Clarification for Native Planting Conservation Areas
 - Considered non-qualified development in the WMO (not open space development)



Open Space

Pervious land to be retained as pervious land which is not part of a larger development. Open space may also include sidewalk, bike path, nature or walking trail development less than or equal to fourteen feet in width, and outdoor accessory features less than or equal to 0.10 acre in area, including, but not limited to, playgrounds, restrooms, and open-air shelters. To be considered open space, the accessory features must be surrounded by a majority of pervious land and be located on property holdings that are less than 30% impervious. Bodies of water are excluded from the calculation of the percentage of imperviousness. Development that is considered Native Planting Conservation Areas under this ordinance is considered non-qualified development. [Compare open space with Native Planting Conservation Area and right-of-way.]

Native Planting Conservation Area

Area planted with deep-rooted vegetation, as approved by the **District**, and maintained in perpetuity.



Public Comment Period

Public Comment period through November 15, 2021

• Draft Amendment is posted on the WMO website:

www.mwrd.org/wmo

• Comment to WMOComments@mwrd.org or mail to:

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago
Local Sewer Systems Section
111 East Erie Street
Chicago, Illinois 60611



Dedicated WMO Website



News

Careers







Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

COVID-19 ABOUT SERVICES PROJECTS EDUCATION DOCUMENTS DOING BUSINESS

Public Comment Period for Proposed Amendment to the Watershed Management Ordinance

October, 2021

Home > Public Comment Period for Proposed Amendment to the Watershed Management Ordinance

Share 🖪 🖸 🛅 🔀 🖨

The Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD) is in the process of amending the Watershed Management Ordinance (WMO), which regulates development within Cook County and sewer construction within MWRD's service area. Beginning October 11, 2021 we will be seeking input from the public on the proposed amendment, outlined in the redlined Draft Amendment. The current version of the WMO and an annotated Draft Amendment can be accessed at the link below:

Current WMO Draft Amendment

A summary of the proposed amendments is provided below:

Summary of Proposed Amendments

Two public meetings to discuss the proposed changes will be held virtually at 2 p.m. on October 21, 2021 and October 28, 2021. The links to the virtual meetings can be accessed at the links below:

October 21, 2021 Virtual Meeting October 28, 2021 Virtual Meeting

The public comment period will be open through November 15, 2021. Please submit all comments by email to WMOComments@mwrd.org or by mail to:

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago Local Sewer Systems Section 111 East Erie Street Chicago, Illinois 60611



www.mwrd.org/wmo



Thank You

Please submit all comments to:

WMOComments@mwrd.org

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago Local Sewer Systems Section 111 East Erie Street Chicago, Illinois 60611