NORTHWEST MUNICIPAL CONFERENCE

1600 East Golf Road, Suite 0700 Des Plaines, Illinois 60016 (847) 296-9200 • Fax (847) 296-9207 www.nwmc-cog.org



A Regional Association of Illinois Municipalities and Townships Representing a Population of Over One Million

MEMBERS

Antioch
Arlington Heights
Bannockburn
Barrington
Bartlett
Buffalo Grove
Deer Park

Deerfield Des Plaines Elk Grove Village

Elk Grove Villag Evanston Fox Lake Glencoe Glenview

Grayslake
Hanover Park
Highland Park
Hoffman Estates

Kenilworth
Lake Bluff
Lake Forest
Lake Zurich
Libertyville
Lincolnshire
Lincolnwood
Morton Grove
Mount Prospect

Niles Northbrook Northfield

Northfield Township Palatine

Park Ridge Prospect Heights Rolling Meadows

Schaumburg Skokie Streamwood Vernon Hills West Dundee Wheeling Wilmette Winnetka

President
Tom Dailly
Schaumburg

Vice-President Rodney Craig Hanover Park

Secretary
Donna Johnson
Libertyville

Treasurer
John Lockerby
Skokie

Executive Director
Mark L. Fowler

Poplar Creek and Upper Salt Creek Watershed Planning Councils AGENDA

Wednesday, January 10, 2023 10:30 a.m.

Zoom Video Conference

Please join the meeting from your computer, tablet, or smartphone.

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83208112743

Meeting ID: 832 0811 2743 Passcode: PCUSWPC

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. October 11, 2023 Meeting Transcript (Attachment A)
- IV. Capital Improvements Update

Paul Jacobs will provide an update. *Action Requested:* Informational

V. Small Streams Maintenance

Douglas McClellan

- VI. MWRD Community Survey Results
- VII. Other Business
- **VIII.** Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Poplar Creek and Upper Salt Creek Watershed Planning Councils will be held April 10 at 10:30 am via Zoom video conference.

IX. Adjournment

	Page 1
1	POPLAR CREEK AND UPPER SALT CREEK
2	WATERSHED PLANNING COUNCILS
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	Held on Wednesday, October 11, 2023,
7	commencing at the hour of 10:30 o'clock, a.m., via
8	Zoom.
9	
10	PRESENT:
11	NORTHWEST MUNICIPAL CONFERENCE:
	Eric Czarnota
12	Mark Fowler
13	
14	METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT
	Teresa Albano
15	Rich Fisher
	Laurel Haynes
16	Joe Kratzer
	George Velez
17	Annie Wright
18	
	ALSO PRESENT:
19	Caleb Hanson - Hanover Township
20	Renee Schroyer - Elk Grove Village
21	Natalie Karney - South Barrington
22	Ron Rudd - Elgin
23	Paul Redman - Hoffman Estates
24	Anna Chychula - Palatine Township

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

MR. CZARNOTA: I'll call the meeting of the Poplar Creek and Upper Salt Creek Planning Council for Wednesday, October 11th.

2.4

And now we'll do roll call. So the roll call for the Poplar Creek Watershed is:

Barrington Hills, Bartlett, Elgin, Hoffman Estates,

Schaumburg, South Barrington, Streamwood,

Unincorporated Cook County, Barrington Township,

Hanover Township, Palatine Township and Schaumburg

Township.

And for the Upper Salt Creek
Watershed we have: Arlington Heights, Deer Park, Elk
Grove Village, Hoffman Estates, Inverness, Palatine,
Rolling Meadows, Schaumburg, Roselle, Unincorporated
Cook County, Elk Grove Township, Palatine Township,
Schaumburg Township and Wheeling Township.

If you could please put your organization into the chat for our court reporter so we can get attendance.

And with that, we will move on to stormwater management services in areas outside of the MWRD, and that will be done by Managing Civil Engineer Joe Kratzer, who will be presenting.

MR. KRATZER: I'll be sharing some slides

here in a second, and I just wanted to introduce myself. I'm Joe Kratzer, I'm a Managing Civil Engineer for the Stormwater Management Section and Engineering Department at the District, and I'm going to be talking to you today about an initiative we've been kind of working through for a number of years, and I'll go ahead and pop up my presentation here.

2.4

So thank you to the Watershed

Councils today for allowing us to talk about the

issue in the areas outside of Cook County and some of
the efforts we've been undertaking to collect
information about these areas.

Again, my name is Joe Kratzer, with MWRD in the Stormwater Management Section, and I will go through some slides here.

So I just wanted to set kind of the baseline for this discussion, talk about our enabling legislation and an amendment that was granted to allow us to collect fees in the areas outside the District to provide services.

Public 93-1049 was enacted in 2004, and it gave the District general responsibility and supervision for stormwater management in Cook County. It authorized us to collect an additional stormwater

similar tax through a levy on property located within the District.

2.4

And then in 2007, I think it was,
Public Act 95-0669 amended our enabling legislation,
and it kind of specified the types of services that
the District could perform outside of our corporate
boundaries, but within Cook County, and then added a
provision to allow all units of local government to
collect fees and remit the collected fees to the
District for stormwater services.

Currently, there are approximately 48,000 parcels within Cook County but outside the District's corporate boundaries. This represents approximately 7.9 percent of the land area, but it's really only about 2.3 percent of the population and only 1.8 percent of the equalized assessed value of the county. I'll get a little bit more into the EAV.

In Northwest Cook County, there are a number of communities: Barrington, Barrington, Hills, and I'll go through the list on the next slide, but you can kind of see on the left-hand side of the map where our boundary is in that redline. And there are some communities that are, you know, kind of within and have small portions outside of our

boundaries, and there are some communities in Cook
County that may be multi-community counties that have
just a little piece of land in our corporate
boundaries. But you know, generally, there's about
20 municipalities and a handful of townships partly
or entirely outside of our corporate boundaries. And
I wanted to mention, in general, in Northwest Cook
County, the area outside of the District generally
drains towards the Fox River through tributaries such
as Spring Creek and Flint Creek.

2.4

This is just a list of the communities. There is actually 24 communities, five townships. Many of those communities, these are the ones noted with an asterisk, are multi-community municipalities, and most of those have adopted stormwater development ordinances of neighboring communities where their boundaries extend. Some examples of the northwest area -- northwest portion of Cook include Barrington, Barrington Hills, Deer Park, and East Dundee, which have all adopted the Lake County Watershed Development Ordinance, and the City of Elgin, which adopted Kane County's ordinance.

So earlier this year, we performed a survey of the communities -- of these 24 communities

and the townships partly or entirely outside of the District's boundaries. We asked a series of questions related to their stormwater management needs, along with a couple of questions about the collection of fees for stormwater services in this area since we don't currently have a mechanism to collect revenue for those service like we do within our boundaries.

2.4

I want to just quickly show the overall survey response rate and thank all of those who participated. Approximately, half of the communities responded. And we really pushed hard on the communities that have large areas that -- large land areas that either would makeup -- would provide the most input for us.

So we noted that the half that responded represent nearly 90 percent of the land area in Cook County that is outside of our boundary. There's a few communities that have very little land, you can see like for example, up in this area Streamwood, there is only, you know, a few thousand, maybe not even that, a few hundred acres of land that extend outside of our boundary. But we did get a lot of responses from the communities that had the most

land. And the next slide really kind of reiterates that. You can see Barrington Hills, Inverness, South Barrington, Elgin, Barrington Township and Barrington kind of made up a big portion of the land. These 10 communities in blue are the largest land areas in Cook County that are outside of our boundaries. So thank you again for those responses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

So here is the results. So Okav. we asked the question, does your community experience riverine or urban flooding or both. You could see it was about fifty-fifty. And you know, we also noted some comments received from this kind of line of questioning including that overbank flooding are impacting their residents and also due to tailwater in their storm sewers, they are also seeing some flooding. There was also mention of undersized sewers and flooding in some of the areas like in Barrington, Barrington Township, Inverness, South Barrington. So yeah, we recognized that, you know, a good amount of people have riverine flooding, but there is a significant amount of urban flooding as well.

The next question was, does your communities have a master plan or capital improvement

plan. The majority, about 65 percent or so said that they do. Surprising to hear that some still don't, whether that's a dedicated stormwater master plan or just a general capital improvement plan, but you know, it -- it's -- that's surprising considering that we know there are some communities where stormwater management isn't such a high priority, whether there's not -- just not the same level of flooding that they are impacted by and doesn't warrant that level of planning or capital improvement planning, so...

2.4

We also asked, would your community be interested in a fee-based partnership program with the District, and the majority still said yes. There were -- There was a significant portion, about 30 percent, that said no. And there was about 15 percent that were just unsure.

And the last one on this slide here we asked, are you willing to participate in future discussions to consider options for facilitating a potential partnership opportunity. And really, that's why we're here today. So we wanted to kind of have some further discussion. So we'll dive deeper into the responses a little bit.

In looking at the communities that responded indicating that they had both riverine and urban flooding, it seems they were more interested in participating in the fee-based program than those who were just impacted by one type of flooding. And I guess that's not too surprising, but there may be different reasons why people would be interested in the fee-based program.

2.4

Also, for communities that indicated they already do stormwater master planning or have a CIP, they also tended to be more interested in the fee-based program than those who don't. This makes sense, especially with communities that commented they had projects identified but lacked funding to implement some of those projects.

Now, this is where things get a bit complicated. As I noted, in our amended legislation, the District can assess a fee in areas outside of the District to provide stormwater services. And a fee is different than a tax, in that a fee is tied directly to the cost to provide services. Under Illinois law, a fee is defined as a charge fixed by law for services of public officers and is regarded as compensation for services rendered. Tax is a

charge having no direct relation to services rendered assessed to provide general revenue rather than compensation. So as stated here on this slide, fees must be based upon actual stormwater management services provided to areas outside of the District. And I guess it's worth mentioning here our storm water management levy is 3 1/2 cents per \$100 in equalized assessed value for properties in our service area. And that's collected through real estate or ad valorem taxes. And in order to collect fees necessary to provided services outside the District but within Cook County, we would ask the local municipalities and townships to collect fees based on this rate and remit that to the District. Now, fees can be collected through mechanisms through a water bill, but they need to be done separately from the real estate or ad valorem taxes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Now, here is just a list of some potential issues or challenges with the assessment and collection of fees. Essentially, this would require extensive accounting, and since many of our services are provided countywide, it could be difficult to determine the fees to be assessed in communities. So there's issues like the length of

time over which we would charge a fee for service could be difficult, because, you know, there's different useful life of capital projects. It's hard to kind of quantify the benefit of stream maintenance over time. And you know, sometimes studies take multiple years, so it could be hard to kind of apportion it with those type of like general countywide services, in addition to our Watershed Management Ordinance, which you know, theoretically some may chose to adopt our ordinance.

2.4

So the District has been collecting revenue to support our program in our corporate boundary and using it to plan, implement and finance regional and local storm water projects and to administer our WMO.

In order to ensure fairness and equity, all communities should contribute in an equitable manner for these services. If we didn't collect fees, those that pay for our services through their taxes might consider that unfair.

And as I mentioned earlier, a fee is different than a tax in that it must be a reasonable calculation of the District's cost to provide the services. So you know, residents within the

District's corporate boundaries, who are required to pay annual taxes to fund, for example a project on an area outside the District's corporate boundaries where no such taxes are paid, might find that unfair without a fee being assessed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

In order to ensure the development of our Detail Watershed Plans and our Stormwater Management Ordinance or Water Management Ordinance, we consider these areas outside the District that are hydrologically connected to areas inside the District. So those are included in those efforts, and that's some of the things -- some of the ways that we have kind of extended our stormwater services outside of our boundary; however, we have not provided any location-specific project management services, such as capital improvement projects in areas outside the District's corporate boundaries. There is the potential for doing that right now under the current system, but the project would have to provide significant benefits within the District's corporate boundaries. Just an example of that, this is a little bit different, but you know, we have our Buffalo Creek Reservoir up just outside of Cook County in Buffalo Grove, which kind of straddles the

county border. The project provides almost entirely benefits within Cook County. So that -- There are some exceptions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

So I wanted to talk about an example partnership project. I just kind of thought it would be helpful to kind of put this into the context through an example project. So you've heard about our Green Infrastructure Program. I believe George will talk a little bit more about that later, and you know, it's a very popular program which, you know, in which we solicit applications from across the county for projects that capture runoff, keep it out of the sewer system and provide other community benefits. And each year we identify between 10 and 20 projects, on average, you know, based on evaluation of the project -- the projects that we receive, the cost benefits, severity of flooding with an emphasis on flooding of structures and other socioeconomic factors.

So let's say the fictional city of Metropolis, located within our boundaries, submits an application to our GI Partnership Program and is selected. The total project cost is \$500,000. The city has \$250,000 of existing funds for the project

and requests \$250,000 from the District. So based on typical -- So hang, let me back up a little bit. So this is actually -- I'm sorry, this is an example where this would be a property located within Cook County but outside of our boundaries. So this would -- The project cost \$500,000. The city has \$250,000 available, and the District potentially could fund \$250,000. Now, based on the District's stormwater levy assessment for typical residential property in Metropolis, with a median sale price of \$300,000 that would give an EAV of approximately \$87,000. And applying our stormwater levy, we could collect about \$25 a year from that typical property.

Now, let's say for argument sake

Metropolis is located -- There's a large area of

Metropolis located outside of our District boundary,

and for argument sake, the District's stormwater levy

could be applied over that area of Metropolis. And

just looking at some of the EAV for these

communities -- in the real communities across Cook

County that are outside of our corporate boundaries,

the averages that we could generate from those areas

is somewhere on the order of \$125,000 per year if you

applied it to all of those properties. So you know,

there's -- There's a way that could be -- that we could recapture these fees for these services. But I think the real point I wanted to drive home is that if the District partners with a local community, let's say within the District, it expects that the community will provide local funding for the project, and the District will match some of that funding. It's not always fifty-fifty, but generally, in some of those areas, it could be. And the fees, if we would do this -- and so the money we use to match that is coming from these ad valorem taxes that we collect across the District. So that \$250,000 in District funding is coming from the revenue generated from the property taxes that we collect in the District. If we were to collect fees -- If we were to do a project just like this outside the District and collect fees, the municipality would still have to put up their own portion of the project funding, and then in order to establish that revenue for the District funding, they would also have to collect fees from its residents to provide that District funding source.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

So really in either, you know, scenario like laid out here, there has to be kind of

an upfront cost assessed and then collected prior to construction. And really the way our partnership program works is that communities apply. There is 128 communities within the District right now, and they apply. On average, we see about 40, sometimes up to 50 applications coming in a year, and we don't have enough funding to provide, you know, for all of those projects. So there's still no guarantee that, you know, if a community applied for a project that it would be selected. So it -- This could be challenging, and I just wanted to give this example to kind of show how something could possibly work.

just wanted to again thank you guys for allowing us some time to present this information and just let you know here what the next step. So after these presentations, we'll be conducting a follow-up survey to the same set of communities and help determine the best -- the interest of affected communities in pursing fee collection. We all heard that people were interested in hearing more, and hopefully, this information helped. We'll be putting some of the same information in the survey when we send it out. Based on the responses we receive, we will develop

2.4

some scenarios for potentially expanding our service to these areas or maybe we just continue to provide some of the services that we extend out to the rest of the county as we do today, like small streams maintenance. Ultimately, we'll present a report to our Board for recommending alternative actions and requesting policy decision.

2.4

I guess, before we open it up to any general questions, I just want to say if you have a specific question about your community, I encourage you to include those in the follow-up survey. But I guess I will open it up to any questions that anybody has from the group today.

MS. KARNEY: This is Natalie Karney, with South Barrington.

So I'm a little confused. If we were to apply for one of your grant programs, and I'm with South Barrington, a good portion of our village is not within the boundaries. We wouldn't be considered for the grant unless we had another mechanism in place to collect fees for MWRD?

MR. KRATZER: Essentially, yes. And just to back up, our program is not a grant program, it's a

partnership program, so there are a lot of expectations. I don't want to say strings, but there are strings that come with the funding that we do provide through our partnership program. For example, you need to comply with the requirements of our purchasing act, our MPLA or labor requirements for union representation, as well as our diversity requirements. So those things are typically seen on just a grant program.

2.4

But aside from that, to answer your more pointed question, I think, yes, we would look to see if a community is willing to start collecting fees, you know, before we would be able to consider an application through our partnership program.

Otherwise, we're not necessarily being fair to the communities inside the District that are continually paying taxes and creating revenue that support our program.

MR. CZARNOTA: Any other questions for Joe?

(No response.)

MR. CZARNOTA: All right. Hearing none, thank you, Joe.

Next we will have Senior Civil Engineer, George Velez, will provide an update on the

capital improvements.

2.4

MR. VELEZ: Good morning -- Good afternoon, everyone. I'm George Velez, I am a civil engineer with the District. I'll be presenting on the capital improvement updates.

So this video shows a time lapse of all stormwater infrastructure programs that have been awarded by the District since January 2011. We have a variety of different stormwater projects that we worked on, including regional and local projects, green infrastructure projects and flood-prone property acquisitions.

This map shows active and completed projects in this watershed. Ongoing projects include flood-prone property acquisition project and two recently selected projects from our 2022 call for projects that I will be discussing in the next few slides.

So this Plum Grove project is located in Plum Grove Estates in Unincorporated Palatine Township. This project is looking to address overbank flooding along South Creek, and this is a conceptual project, which means that a solution has not been identified by the applicant. The

District will be working with the Palatine Township

Road District to identify a solution and design a

solution. The status of the project is that the

District is currently identifying right-of-way within

the project area.

2.4

This next project is the Springinsguth stream corridor improvement project in Schaumburg and Hanover Park. This is a shovel-ready project, and this project will involve 4,685 linear feet of streambank stabilization, as well as new stormwater outlets and restoration of existing wetlands and prairie. It looks like it's currently working on finalizing an IGA with the municipalities, and work for the project will be expected to start and be completed by the end of this year.

If you are interested in partnering with MWRD on stormwater projects, like the ones mentioned, please consider applying to one of our partnership programs. We recently opened up applications for conceptual projects and voluntary flood-prone property acquisitions on October 2nd.

For our green water -- Sorry, for our Green Infrastructure Partnership Program, applications are currently open. This year there's a

Page 21 mandatory pre-application due on December 31, 2023, 1 2 and full applications will be made available to pre-3 applicants in early 2024. 4 For our Flood-Prone Property 5 Acquisition Program, there is no pre-application due, just one application form due on December -- sorry, 6 7 January 28, 2024. 8 For our conceptual projects, 9 applications are currently open. And pre-application is due on December -- by December 8, 2023. The pre-10 11 application will include a meeting request so MWRD 12 can schedule a meeting with you to discuss your 13 projects. Meetings will be held until December 29th. 14 Full applications will be made available to 15 pre-applicants following the meeting with MWRD staff. 16 And our Stormwater Partnership 17 Program, applications will be open this fall for 18 projects that are in design or shovel ready. 19 And you can visit our website to 20 learn more about each program. 21 That's my contact information, and 22 I'm available to answer any questions or you can also 23 send me an e-mail.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

MR. CZARNOTA: Does anyone have any

2.4

questions?

2.4

2 (No response.)

MR. CZARNOTA: Hearing none, I think we'll move on to the next agenda item which would be Associate Civil Engineer, Laurel Hayes, who will be providing an update on the local sewer system.

MS. HAYNES: So my name is Laurel Haynes, I'm an Associate Civil Engineer with the Local Sewer System Section. Today, I'm going to be going over updates regarding the Watershed Management Ordinance and the Infiltration and Inflow Control Program or WMO and IICP, as I'll be referring to them in the presentation.

We're currently working on updates to our Technical Guide Manual. The following articles will be updated next. That's Article 3, Permit Requirements and Submittals; Article 6, Flood Protection Areas; and Article 7, Sewer Construction.

Additionally, we're working on the following WMO amendments to the following WMO articles and appendices including substantive updates, minor clarifications and revised section references and layout. So that includes Article 1, Authority and Purpose; Article 2, Applicability and

General Provisions; Article 3, Permit Requirements and Submittals; Article 4, Erosion and Sediment Control; Article 5, Stormwater Management; Article 6, Flood Protection Areas; Article 7, Requirements for Sewer Construction; Article 12, Enforcement On Penalties; Article 14, Administration.

2.4

We're also working on updating and reordering the -- our appendices. So that's Appendix A, Definitions. There is going to be a new Appendix D for District Controlled Waterways. There will be a new exhibit provided. And Appendix F, Permit Fees, among others.

I'll go over a summary of some of the substantive draft updates. So for Article 2 and 5, for outfalls, permits will be -- will only be required for newer reconstructed outfalls to District controlled waterways. So that's an exhibit that will be in Appendix D or to Lake Michigan. Additionally, activities involving modification to District permitted volume control or compensatory storage facilities, detention service areas or major stormwater systems will require a permit.

Development on property holdings subject to detention requirements under a previously

issued District permit will require a permit regardless of current property holdings size and detention provisions of Article 5 shall apply.

2.4

Also, there will be a proposed increase to the property holding and impervious area thresholds for when volume control provisions of Article 5 apply. And so that's from .5 acres to 1 acre and .1 acre to .5 acres respectively, and this would only apply for certain development types.

For Article 6, draft updates for wetlands in order to streamline a review process, alternatives will be specified for applicants with identified wetlands that minimize the requirements for a Corps jurisdictional determination prior to permit issuance.

Also, for riparian environments, we're going to introduce a minimum threshold for impacts requiring mitigation under certain circumstances. So projects for minimal impact like stormwater outfalls or public access recreational activities.

Also, we will be providing mitigation alternatives including a minimum spatial ratio for riparian environment area creation for each

acre impacted and/or existing riparian environment improvement for each acre impacted. So that's to clarify, creation of new riparian environment areas and qualitative improvements to existing areas such as removal of invasive plants, Buckthorn, et cetera.

Additionally, there -- So for Appendix A updates, there's going to be some new and

2.4

Appendix A updates, there's going to be some new and updated definitions, including design runoff rate, flood control project, offsite detention facility, outfall, permittee, violation report, among others.

For Appendix F, there's going to be an addition to -- of a \$750 flat fee when a violation is discovered by the District to replace the current fee structure of \$250 per inspection for violations.

Next steps include, the District will be providing a redline draft of the proposed amendment at the November Technical Advisory

Committee meeting, and a redline draft for public comment will be made available at a later date.

And so the draft updates, especially the one in this presentation, are subject to change at any time.

Next I'll discuss the IICP shortterm requirements.

The annual summary reports are due or were March 1st of each year detailing progress of the program requirements. The five-year period for the short-term requirements is past due, and if you haven't done so, please submit the following as soon as possible: The past annual summary reports that have not been submitted and the long-term operation and maintenance program document and the private sector program documents.

2.4

If you are not sure whether you're in compliance with the District's IICP or have any other questions, please contact Ann Gray at graya@mwrd.org.

Once the short-term requirements are complete and approved by the MWRD, the satellite entities will transition to the long-term program. If have you any questions about that as well, please contact Ann Gray at graya@mwrd.org.

Next I'll go over some reminders for construction under issued WMO permits. So construction under issued WMO permits is monitored by our field office unit. The field office must be notified ahead of the start of construction work under a WMO permit. Notification can be made by

calling (708) 588-4055 or by e-mailing wmojobstart@mwrd.org.

2.4

Please be reminded that development for which a permit is required cannot begin until the permit is issued by the MWRD.

Nillages are responsible to ensure new staff are aware of the WMO and responsibilities of village as permittee. Villages play a critical role in preventing construction without a permit or in advance of receiving a WMO permit. They should not issue grading permits before WMO permits are issued, and they should not issue occupancy permits until after the RFI is signed. As system engineers and permittee, the village is expected to inspect work under construction and to sign RFIs as well.

If you have any GIS sewer system files including sanitary storm or combined GIS system files, please e-mail mwrdii@mwrd.org.

And then just some contact information updates. If you are looking for permit determination request, please contact Constance Mihalache at the e-mail provided. For pre-application meetings, contact Dan Feltes at the e-mail provided. If you're looking for copies of

previously issued SPO or WMO permits, you can e-mail mwrdpi@mwrd.org. If you have any questions about WPASS or GPS units or I guess the IICP Program, contact Ann Gray at graya@mwrd.org. If you would like to be added to the e-mail list for WMO updates, including information about the WMO amendments, new forms posted, I & I update, you can send an e-mail to wmoupdates@mwrd.org to join the list.

2.4

Also, a reminder to please download and use the most recent WMO forms. They can be found at mwrd.org/wmo under WMO Permit Application Forms and Fees. You may need to clear your browser cache to see these.

That's it. If you have any questions, please let me know or you can feel free to e-mail me.

MR. CZARNOTA: Thank you, Laurel.

Does anyone have any questions?

(No response.)

MR. CZARNOTA: Hearing none, I think we will move on to the next agenda item, which is the MWRD open forum.

I'm not sure if MWRD is going to take this one.

MS. WRIGHT: Sure, Eric.

2.4

This is Annie Wright, with MWRD. We at first wanted to let you guys kind of talk about any projects that you wanted to bring up that you have going on in your municipalities or any examples of how you worked with other municipalities recently to get some capital projects done.

Basically, this is your opportunity to talk about what you would like to talk about with the group, and then we have some topics if you don't have anything to share right now that we can discuss.

George, were there a couple of people that mentioned that they wanted to talk today?

MR. VELEZ: There was some that said they would be here, but no one had any planned topics to talk about for the active projects going on in the watershed.

MS. WRIGHT: Okay. That's no problem.

One thing we wanted to ask you guys about at the beginning of Joe's presentation, he mentioned a survey that we did with areas that are outside the District. We do some other surveys primarily if something -- like if there's been a big flooding incident or we're about to issue a new call

for projects and stuff like that. And basically, we -- If you've responded to the survey, we kind of wanted to know if you thought the length of it was okay. If you haven't responded to the surveys, but you got them, we're kind of just looking for ways to make them better. So if you thought they were too long or difficult to complete or something like that, if you have any comments on that, we'd love to hear them.

2.4

(No response.)

MS. WRIGHT: Okay. That's fine.

One other question that we had for you guys was so generally, when we do these Watershed Planning Council meetings, we do -- The first quarter we present all of the projects in your watershed that MWRD is working on. Then in subsequent quarters, the second and third, we generally have a topic, and then this fourth quarter is meant for an open forum for you guys to discuss whatever you wanted to. But we're wondering if you had any ideas of topics that we could present at future meetings or guests that you thought would be helpful. For example, maybe someone from FEMA, to talk about some of their grant programs or something like that.

MS. KARNEY: This a Natalie Karney, with South Barrington.

2.4

I know we're in the process of preparing a grant to IEPA, the GIGO grant, so maybe a discussion on that would be helpful.

MS. WRIGHT: That's a good idea. They actually have a few different grant programs.

MR. FOWLER: And Annie, that, I think, is a great topic. We advertise grants all of the time, out to our membership, and I think that's very, very helpful. Another source of doing grant, you know, ideas and notices of funding opportunity would be very helpful.

MS. WRIGHT: Okay. Sounds good. Thank you.

MS. KARNEY: Another topic might be the responsibilities of an authorized community in implementing the WMO application, that might be helpful.

MS. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CZARNOTA: I would send a follow-up e-mail after the meeting to see if anyone else that might not have attended the meeting has any suggestions as well.

MS. WRIGHT: That would be great. Thanks,

Eric.

2.4

Yeah, this was really just an opportunity for anyone to ask any questions or share anything about projects they have going on or any obstacles they've had over the past year.

So if anyone has anything to share, otherwise, we can cut the meeting a little short.

MR. CZARNOTA: I had one other business to share with the group, and essentially, just 2024 meeting dates for Poplar Creek and Upper Salt Creek Watershed Planning Council. I'll share that.

All right. So these were the meetings for upcoming or the upcoming meetings. So our next meeting would be Wednesday, January 10, 2024. Then we would have a meeting April 10, July 10 and then October 9th. So it's a pretty similar schedule to what we've had in the past, the second Wednesday of the month. I went over these dates with MWRD, and there seems there aren't any conflicts of interest. So these will be the meeting dates for the upcoming meetings for 2024.

I'm not sure if anyone had any comments or questions on the schedule.

MR. FOWLER: Eric, if you could just change

Page 33 the last two dates from 2023 to 2024. 1 2 MR. CZARNOTA: Just noticing that. Those 3 will be in 2024. Then I will send this in a follow-4 5 up e-mail along with a request if anyone has any future meeting topics. 6 7 MS. WRIGHT: Thanks, Eric. That's it for us, unless anyone has 8 9 anything they would like to share. MR. RUDD: This a Ron Rudd, with the City of 10 11 Elgin. 12 I was wondering if it would be 13 possible to get a copy of the information you 14 provided, so I could share that with some other staff 15 members. 16 MS. WRIGHT: Sure. Which portion were you 17 looking for? 18 MR. RUDD: The whole thing actually, but more 19 specifically, the very beginning where it was the 20 cost sharing and the fees associated with stuff. 21 MS. WRIGHT: Sure. Would you mind dropping 22 your e-mail in the chat? MR. RUDD: No problem. Thank you so much. 23

MR. FOWLER: Or Annie, we can -- If you want

2.4

```
Page 34
     to send it to Eric, we can send it out to the entire
 1
 2
     group.
            MS. WRIGHT: Good idea. Thanks, Mark.
 4
            MR. FOWLER: Sure.
 5
            MR. CZARNOTA: All right. In that case,
 6
     since no one else has anything to share, we will
     conclude -- adjourn the meeting for the October 11
 7
     Poplar Creek and Upper Salt Creek Water Planning
 8
     Council meeting.
9
                      Thanks everybody.
10
11
                                    (The meeting concluded
12
                                    at 11:17 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
```

	Page 35
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.
2	COUNTY OF COOK)
3	
4	I, DEBORAH R. SANTI, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
6	that on the 12th day of October, 2022, the Poplar
7	Creek and Upper Salt Creek Watershed Planning Council
8	Meeting was taken before me, reported
9	stenographically, and was thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting.
11	The said hearing was held via
12	Zoom.
13	I further certify that the
14	foregoing is an accurate record of the hearing at the
15	time and place hereinabove referred to.
16	Witness my official signature
17	and seal as Notary Public, in and for the County of
18	Cook, State of Illinois, on the 31st day of October,
19	2023.
20	Websah R. Sonti
21	Walnes 1 N. Zaruc
22	DEBORAH R. SANTI, CSR
	CSR # 084-004107
23	
24	

[& - agenda] Page 1

	0000 1 5 5 1 1		
&	2023 1:6 21:1	6	act 4:4 18:6
& 28:7	21:10 33:1	6 22:17 23:3	actions 17:6
0	35:19	24:10	active 19:13
-	2024 21:3,7	65 8:1	29:16
084-004107	32:9,15,21	7	activities 23:19
35:22	33:1,3	-	24:21
1	24 5:12,24	7 22:18 23:4	actual 10:4
1 22:23 24:7,8	25 14:13	7.9 4:14	actually 5:12
1.8 4:16	250 25:14	708 27:1	14:3 31:7
1/2 10:7	250,000 13:24	750 25:12	33:18
10 7:4 13:14	14:1,7,8 15:12	8	ad 10:10,17
32:14,15,15	28 21:7	8 21:10	15:11
100 10:7	29th 21:13	87,000 14:12	added 4:7 28:5
10:30 1:7	2nd 20:21	9	addition 11:8
11 1:6 34:7	3	93-1049 3:21	25:12
11:17 34:12	3 10:7 22:16	95-1049 5:21 95 4:4	additional 3:24
11th 2:3	23:1		additionally
12 23:5	30 8:15	9o 6:17 9th 32:16	22:19 23:18
125,000 14:23	300,000 14:11		25:6
128 16:4	31 21:1	a	address 19:22
12th 35:6	31st 35:18	a.m. 1:7 34:12	adjourn 34:7
14 23:6	4	able 18:13	administer
15 8:16		access 24:20	11:15
1708 35:21	4 23:2	accounting	administration
1st 26:2	4,685 20:9	10:21	23:6
2	40 16:5	accurate 35:14	adopt 11:10
2 22:24 23:14	48,000 4:12	acquisition	adopted 5:15
2.3 4:15	5	19:15 21:5	5:20,22
20 5:5 13:14	5 23:3,15 24:3	acquisitions	advance 27:10
2004 3:21	24:7,7,8	19:12 20:21	advertise 31:9
2004 3.21 2007 4:3	50 16:6	acre 24:8,8	advisory 25:17
2007 4.3 2011 19:8	500,000 13:23	25:1,2	affected 16:19
2011 19.8 2022 19:16	14:6	acres 6:22 24:7	afternoon 19:2
35:6	588-4055 27:1	24:8	agenda 22:4
33.0			28:21

[ahead - bit] Page 2

1 1 2 7	10.04	150150	10.15
ahead 3:7	applicant 19:24	15:9 17:2	average 13:15
26:23	applicants 21:3	22:18 23:4,21	16:5
albano 1:14	21:15 24:12	25:3,4 29:21	averages 14:22
allow 3:19 4:8	application	argument	awarded 19:8
allowing 3:9	13:22 18:14	14:14,17	aware 27:7
16:14	21:1,5,6,9,11	arlington 2:12	b
alternative	27:23 28:11	article 22:16,17	back 14:2
17:6	31:17	22:18,23,24	17:24
alternatives	applications	23:1,2,3,3,4,5,6	barrington
24:12,23	13:11 16:6	23:14 24:3,7	1:21 2:6,7,8
amended 4:4	20:20,24 21:2	24:10	4:19,19 5:19
9:17	21:9,14,17	articles 22:16	5:19 7:2,3,3,3
amendment	applied 14:18	22:21	7:18,18,19
3:18 25:17	14:24 16:9	aside 18:10	17:16,19 31:2
amendments	apply 16:3,5	asked 6:2 7:9	bartlett 2:6
22:20 28:6	17:18 24:3,7,9	8:12,19	based 8:13 9:4
amount 7:20,21	applying 14:12	assess 9:18	9:8,12 10:4,14
ann 26:12,18	20:18	assessed 4:16	13:15 14:1,8
28:4	apportion 11:7	10:2,8,23 12:5	16:24
anna 1:24	approved	16:1	baseline 3:17
annie 1:17 29:2	26:15	assessment	basically 29:8
31:8 33:24	approximately	10:19 14:9	30:1
annual 12:2	4:11,14 6:11	associate 22:5,8	beginning
26:1,6	14:11	associated	29:20 33:19
answer 18:10	april 32:15	33:20	believe 13:8
21:22	area 4:14 5:8	asterisk 5:14	benefit 11:4
anybody 17:13	5:18 6:6,18,20	attendance	benefits 12:20
appendices	10:9 12:3	2:19	
22:21 23:8	14:15,18 20:5	attended 31:22	13:2,13,17 best 16:19
appendix 23:8	24:5,24	authority 22:24	better 30:6
23:9,11,18	areas 2:21 3:10	authorized	
25:7,11	3:12,19 6:13	3:24 31:16	big 7:4 29:23
applicability	6:14 7:5,17	available 14:7	bill 10:16
22:24	9:18 10:5 12:9	21:2,14,22	bit 4:17 8:24
	12:10,17 14:22	25:19	9:16 12:22
	,		13:9 14:2

[blue - construction]

blue 7:5	certified 35:4	collecting	compensatory
board 17:6	certify 35:5,13	11:11 18:12	23:20
border 13:1	cetera 25:5	collection 6:5	complete 26:15
boundaries 4:7	challenges	10:20 16:20	30:7
4:13 5:1,4,6,17	10:19	combined	completed
6:2,8 7:6 12:1	challenging	27:17	19:13 20:15
12:3,17,21	16:11	come 18:3	compliance
13:21 14:5,21	change 25:21	coming 15:11	26:11
17:20	32:24	15:13 16:6	complicated
boundary 4:22	charge 9:22	commencing	9:17
6:18,23 11:13	10:1 11:1	1:7	comply 18:5
12:14 14:16	chat 2:18 33:22	comment 25:19	conceptual
bring 29:4	chose 11:10	commented	19:23 20:20
browser 28:12	chychula 1:24	9:13	21:8
buckthorn 25:5	cip 9:11	comments 7:12	conclude 34:7
buffalo 12:23	circumstances	30:8 32:23	concluded
12:24	24:19	committee	34:11
business 32:8	city 5:22 13:20	25:18	conducting
c	13:24 14:6	communities	16:17
cache 28:12	33:10	4:19,23 5:1,12	conference
calculation	civil 2:22 3:2	5:12,13,17,24	1:11
11:23	18:23 19:3	5:24 6:12,13	conflicts 32:19
caleb 1:19	22:5,8	6:19,24 7:5,24	confused 17:17
call 2:1,4,5	clarifications	8:6 9:1,9,13	connected
19:16 29:24	22:22	10:24 11:17	12:10
calling 27:1	clarify 25:3	14:20,20 16:3	consider 8:20
capital 7:24 8:4	clear 28:12	16:4,18,19	11:20 12:9
8:10 11:3	collect 3:11,19	18:16	18:13 20:18
12:16 19:1,4	3:24 4:9 6:7	community 5:2	considered
29:7	10:10,13 11:19	5:14 7:9 8:12	17:21
capture 13:12	14:13 15:12,14	13:13 15:4,6	considering 8:5
case 34:5	15:15,17,20	16:9 17:11	constance
cents 10:7	17:22	18:12 31:16	27:21
certain 24:9,18	collected 4:9	compensation	construction
21.7,10	10:9,15 16:1	9:24 10:3	16:2 22:18

[construction - district]

22.7.26.20.21		. 22.7	
23:5 26:20,21	council 2:2	cut 32:7	determine
26:23 27:9,15	30:14 32:11	czarnota 1:11	10:23 16:18
contact 21:21	34:9 35:7	2:1 18:19,21	develop 16:24
26:12,18 27:19	councils 1:2 3:9	21:24 22:3	development
27:21,23 28:4	counties 5:2	28:17,20 31:20	5:16,21 12:6
context 13:6	county 2:8,15	32:8 33:2 34:5	23:23 24:9
continually	3:10,23 4:7,12	d	27:3
18:16	4:17,18 5:2,8	d 23:10,18	different 9:7,20
continue 17:2	5:21 6:18 7:6	dan 27:23	11:3,22 12:22
contribute	10:12 12:24	date 25:19	19:9 31:7
11:17	13:1,2,11 14:5	dates 32:10,18	difficult 10:23
control 22:11	14:21 17:4	32:20 33:1	11:2 30:7
23:3,20 24:6	35:2,17	day 35:6,18	direct 10:1
25:9	county's 5:22	deborah 35:4	directly 9:21
controlled	countywide	35:22	discovered
23:10,17	10:22 11:8	december 21:1	25:13
cook 2:8,15	couple 6:4		discuss 21:12
3:10,23 4:7,12	29:12	21:6,10,10,13 decision 17:7	25:23 29:11
4:18 5:1,7,19	court 2:18		30:19
6:18 7:6 10:12	creating 18:17	dedicated 8:3	discussing
12:23 13:2	creation 24:24	deeper 8:23	19:17
14:4,20 35:2	25:3	deer 2:12 5:19	discussion 3:17
35:18	creek 1:1,1 2:2	defined 9:22	8:23 31:5
copies 27:24	2:2,5,11 5:10	definitions 23:9	discussions
copy 33:13	5:10 12:23	25:8	8:20
corporate 4:6	19:22 32:10,10	department 3:4	district 1:14
4:13 5:3,6	34:8,8 35:7,7	design 20:2	3:4,20,22 4:2,6
11:12 12:1,3	critical 27:8	21:18 25:8	4:10 5:8 8:14
12:17,21 14:21	csr 35:22,22	detail 12:7	9:18,19 10:5
corps 24:14	current 12:19	detailing 26:2	10:12,14 11:11
corridor 20:7	24:2 25:13	detention 23:21	12:9,11 14:1,7
cost 9:21 11:23	currently 4:11	23:24 24:3	14:16 15:4,5,7
13:16,23 14:6	6:6 20:4,12,24	25:9	15:12,13,15,16
16:1 33:20	21:9 22:14	determination	15:20,21 16:4
		24:14 27:21	18:16 19:4,8
			,

[district - feltes] Page 5

	I	I	I
20:1,2,4 23:10	efforts 3:11	equitable 11:18	expected 20:14
23:16,19 24:1	12:11	equity 11:17	27:14
25:13,15 29:22	either 6:14	eric 1:11 29:1	expects 15:5
district's 4:13	15:23	32:1,24 33:7	experience 7:9
6:2 11:23 12:1	elgin 1:22 2:6	34:1	extend 5:17
12:3,17,20	5:22 7:3 33:11	erosion 23:2	6:23 17:3
14:8,17 26:11	elk 1:20 2:12	especially 9:13	extended 12:13
dive 8:23	2:15	25:20	extensive 10:21
diversity 18:7	emphasis 13:17	essentially	f
document 26:8	enabling 3:17	10:20 17:23	f 23:11 25:11
documents	4:4	32:9	
26:9	enacted 3:21	establish 15:19	facilitating 8:20
doing 12:18	encourage	estate 10:10,17	facilities 23:21
31:11	17:11	estates 1:23 2:6	facility 25:9
download 28:9	enforcement	2:13 19:20	factors 13:19
draft 23:14	23:5	et 25:5	fair 18:15
24:10 25:16,18	engineer 2:23	evaluation	fairness 11:16
25:20	3:3 18:24 19:3	13:15	fall 21:17
drains 5:9	22:5,8	everybody	
drive 15:3	engineering 3:4	34:10	fee 8:13 9:4,8
dropping 33:21	engineers	example 6:20	9:12,18,19,20 9:22 11:1,21
due 7:14 21:1,5	27:13	12:2,21 13:4,7	12:5 16:20
21:6,10 26:1,4	ensure 11:16	14:3 16:11	25:12,14
dundee 5:20	12:6 27:6	18:5 30:22	feel 28:15
e	entire 34:1	examples 5:18	fees 3:19 4:9,9
e 21:23 27:1,18	entirely 5:6 6:1	29:5	<u> </u>
,	13:1	exceptions 13:3	6:5 10:3,11,13 10:15,20,23
27:22,24 28:1	entities 26:16	exhibit 23:11	11:19 15:2,9
28:5,7,16	environment	23:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
31:21 33:5,22 earlier 5:23	24:24 25:1,3	existing 13:24	15:15,17,21 17:22 18:13
11:21	environments	20:11 25:1,4	
	24:16	expanding 17:1	23:11 28:12
early 21:3	equalized 4:16	expectations	33:20 foot 20:10
east 5:20	10:8	18:2	feet 20:10
eav 4:17 14:11			feltes 27:23
14:19			

[fema - helped] Page 6

		I	I
fema 30:23	forms 28:7,10	gi 13:22	grove 1:20 2:13
fictional 13:20	28:11	gigo 31:4	2:15 12:24
field 26:22,22	forum 28:22	gis 27:16,17	19:19,20
fifty 7:11,11	30:18	give 14:11	guarantee 16:8
15:8,8	found 28:10	16:11	guess 9:6 10:6
files 27:17,18	fourth 30:18	go 3:7,15 4:20	17:9,12 28:3
finalizing 20:13	fowler 1:12	23:13 26:19	guests 30:21
finance 11:13	31:8 32:24	going 3:4 22:9	guide 22:15
find 12:4	33:24 34:4	22:9 23:9	guys 16:14 29:3
fine 30:11	fox 5:9	24:17 25:7,11	29:19 30:13,19
first 29:3 30:14	free 28:15	28:23 29:5,16	h
fisher 1:15	full 21:2,14	32:4	half 6:11,16
five 5:12 26:3	fund 12:2 14:8	good 7:20	hand 4:21
fixed 9:22	funding 9:14	17:19 19:2,2	handful 5:5
flat 25:12	15:6,7,13,18,20	31:6,14 34:3	hang 14:2
flint 5:10	15:22 16:7	government	hanover 1:19
flood 19:11,15	18:3 31:12	4:8	2:9 20:8
20:21 21:4	funds 13:24	gps 28:3	hanson 1:19
22:17 23:4	further 8:23	grading 27:11	hard 6:12 11:3
25:9	35:13	grant 17:18,21	11:6
flooding 7:10	future 8:19	17:24 18:9	hayes 22:5
7:13,16,17,20	30:21 33:6	30:23 31:4,4,7	haynes 1:15
7:21 8:9 9:3,5	g	31:11	22:7,7
13:17,18 19:22	general 3:22	granted 3:18	hear 8:2 30:8
29:24	5:7 8:4 10:2	grants 31:9	heard 13:7
follow 16:17	11:7 17:9 23:1	gray 26:12,18	16:20
17:12 31:20	generally 5:4,8	28:4	hearing 16:21
33:4	15:8 30:13,17	graya 26:13,18	18:21 22:3
following 21:15	generate 14:22	28:4	28:20 35:11,14
22:15,20,20	generated 14.22	great 31:9,24	heights 2:12
26:5	15:13	green 13:8	held 1:6 21:13
foregoing		19:11 20:22,23	35:11
35:14	george 1:16 13:8 18:24	group 17:14	
form 21:6		29:10 32:9	help 16:18
	19:3 29:12	34:2	helped 16:22

1 l C. 1 12. C	:11::- 0.22	: FI 22.11	• 10.10.24
helpful 13:6	illinois 9:22	inflow 22:11	issues 10:19,24
30:22 31:5,11	35:1,5,18	information	item 22:4 28:21
31:13,18	impact 24:19	3:12 16:15,22	j
hereinabove	impacted 8:9	16:23 21:21	january 19:8
35:15	9:5 25:1,2	27:20 28:6	21:7 32:14
high 8:7	impacting 7:14	33:13	joe 1:16 2:23
hills 2:6 4:20	impacts 24:18	infrastructure	3:2,13 18:19
5:19 7:2	impervious	13:8 19:7,11	18:22
hoffman 1:23	24:5	20:23	joe's 29:20
2:6,13	implement 9:15	initiative 3:5	join 28:8
holding 24:5	11:13	input 6:15	july 32:15
holdings 23:23	implementing	inside 12:10	jurisdictional
24:2	31:17	18:16	24:14
home 15:3	improvement	inspect 27:14	
hopefully 16:21	7:24 8:4,10	inspection	k
hour 1:7	12:16 19:5	25:14	kane 5:22
hundred 6:22	20:7 25:2	interest 16:19	karney 1:21
hydrologically	improvements	32:20	17:15,15 31:1
12:10	19:1 25:4	interested 8:13	31:1,15
i	incident 29:24	9:3,7,11 16:21	keep 13:12
idea 31:6 34:3	include 5:19	20:16	kind 3:6,16 4:5
ideas 30:20	17:12 19:14	introduce 3:1	4:21,24 7:1,4
31:12	21:11 25:15	24:17	7:12 8:22 11:4
identified 9:14	included 12:11	invasive 25:5	11:6 12:13,24
	includes 22:23	inverness 2:13	13:5,6 15:24
19:24 24:13	including 7:13	7:2,18	16:12 29:3
identify 13:14	19:10 22:21	involve 20:9	30:2,5
20:2	24:23 25:8	involving 23:19	know 4:23 5:4
identifying	27:17 28:6	issuance 24:15	6:21 7:11,19
20:4	increase 24:5	issue 3:10	8:5,6 11:2,5,9
iepa 31:4	indicated 9:9	27:11,12 29:24	11:24 12:22
iga 20:13	indicating 9:2	issued 24:1	13:10,10,15
iicp 22:12	infiltration	26:20,21 27:5	14:24 15:23
25:23 26:11	22:11	27:12 28:1	16:7,9,16
28:3			18:13 28:15

30:3 31:3,11	little 4:17 5:3	majority 8:1,14	meetings 21:13
kratzer 1:16	6:19 8:24	make 30:6	27:23 30:14,21
2:23,24 3:2,13	12:22 13:9	makes 9:12	32:13,13,21
17:23	14:2 17:17	makeup 6:14	members 33:15
l	32:7	management	membership
labor 18:6	local 4:8 10:13	2:21 3:3,14,23	31:10
lacked 9:14	11:14 15:4,6	6:3 8:7 10:4,7	mention 5:7
laid 15:24	19:10 22:6,8	11:9 12:8,8,15	7:16
lake 5:21 23:18	located 4:1	22:10 23:3	mentioned
land 4:14 5:3	13:21 14:4,15	managing 2:22	11:21 20:18
6:14,17,19,22	14:16 19:20	3:2	29:13,21
7:1,4,5	location 12:15	mandatory	mentioning
lapse 19:6	long 26:7,16	21:1	10:6
large 6:13,13	30:7	manner 11:18	metropolis
14:15	look 18:11	manual 22:15	13:21 14:10,15
largest 7:5	looking 9:1	map 4:22 19:13	14:16,18
laurel 1:15 22:5	14:19 19:21	march 26:2	metropolitan
22:7 28:17	27:20,24 30:5	mark 1:12 34:3	1:14
law 9:22,23	33:17	master 7:24 8:3	michigan 23:18
layout 22:23	looks 20:12	9:10	mihalache
learn 21:20	lot 6:23 18:1	match 15:7,10	27:22
left 4:21	love 30:8	meadows 2:14	mind 33:21
legislation 3:18	m	means 19:23	minimal 24:19
4:4 9:17	made 7:4 21:2	meant 30:18	minimize 24:13
length 10:24	21:14 25:19	mechanism 6:6	minimum
30:3	26:24	17:22	24:17,23
level 8:8,10	mail 21:23	mechanisms	minor 22:22
levy 4:1 10:7	27:18,22,24	10:15	mitigation
14:9,12,17	28:1,5,7,16	median 14:10	24:18,23
life 11:3	31:21 33:5,22	meeting 2:1	modification
line 7:12	mailing 27:1	21:11,12,15	23:19
linear 20:9	maintenance	25:18 31:21,22	money 15:10
list 4:20 5:11	11:4 17:5 26:8	32:7,10,14,15	monitored
10:18 28:5,8	major 23:21	32:20 33:6	26:21
,	9	34:7,9,11 35:8	
Veriteyt Legal Solutions			

[month - past] Page 9

month 32:18	need 10:16 18:5	officers 9:23	4:24 5:6,8 6:1
morning 19:2	28:12	official 35:16	6:18,23 7:6
move 2:20 22:4	needs 6:4	offsite 25:9	9:18 10:5,11
28:21	neighboring	okay 7:8 29:18	12:3,9,14,17,23
mpla 18:6	5:16	30:4,11 31:14	14:5,16,21
multi 5:2,14	new 20:10 23:9	31:19	15:16 29:22
multiple 11:6	23:11 25:3,7	once 26:14	overall 6:10
municipal 1:11	27:7 28:6	ones 5:14 20:17	overbank 7:13
municipalities	29:24	ongoing 19:14	19:22
5:5,15 10:13	newer 23:16	open 17:9,13	own 15:18
20:13 29:5,6	northwest 1:11	20:24 21:9,17	p
municipality	4:18 5:7,18,18	28:22 30:18	paid 12:4
15:17	notary 35:17	opened 20:19	pald 12.4 palatine 1:24
mwrd 2:22	noted 5:14 6:16	operation 26:7	2:9,13,15
3:14 17:22	7:11 9:17	opportunity	19:21 20:1
20:17 21:11,15	notices 31:12	8:21 29:8	parcels 4:12
26:15 27:5	noticing 33:2	31:12 32:3	park 2:12 5:20
28:21,23 29:2	notification	options 8:20	20:8
30:16 32:19	26:24	order 10:10	
mwrd.org 28:8	notified 26:23	11:16 12:6	participate 8:19
28:11	november	14:23 15:19	
mwrd.org.	25:17	24:11	participated 6:11
26:13,18 27:2	number 3:6	ordinance 5:21	
27:18 28:2,4	4:19	5:22 11:9,10	participating 9:4
mwrdii 27:18	0	12:8,8 22:10	
mwrdpi 28:2	o'clock 1:7	ordinances	partly 5:5 6:1
n		5:16	partnering
name 3:13 22:7	0669 4:4	organization	20:16
natalie 1:21	obstacles 32:5	2:18	partners 15:4
	occupancy 27:12	outfall 25:10	partnership
17:15 31:1		outfalls 23:15	8:13,21 13:5
nearly 6:17	october 1:6 2:3	23:16 24:20	13:22 16:2
necessarily	20:21 32:16	outlets 20:11	18:1,4,14
18:15	34:7 35:6,18	outside 2:21	20:19,23 21:16
necessary	office 26:22,22	3:10,19 4:6,12	past 26:4,6
10:11		, ,	32:5,17

1 1 22	10.7	4 1 10 10	
paul 1:23	plans 12:7	present 1:10,18	programs
pay 11:19 12:2	plants 25:5	16:15 17:5	17:18 19:7
paying 18:17	play 27:8	30:15,21	20:19 30:24
penalties 23:6	please 2:17	presentation	31:7
people 7:20 9:7	20:18 26:5,12	3:7 22:13	progress 26:2
16:20 29:13	26:17 27:3,18	25:21 29:20	project 12:2,15
percent 4:14,15	27:21 28:9,15	presentations	12:19 13:1,5,7
4:16 6:17 8:1	plum 19:19,20	16:17	13:16,23,24
8:16,17	point 15:3 17:8	presenting 2:23	14:6 15:6,16
perform 4:6	pointed 18:11	19:4	15:18 16:9
performed 5:23	policy 17:7	pretty 32:16	19:15,19,21,23
period 26:3	pop 3:7	preventing	20:3,5,6,7,9,9
permit 22:17	poplar 1:1 2:2	27:9	20:14 25:9
23:1,11,22	2:5 32:10 34:8	previously	projects 9:14
24:1,1,15	35:6	23:24 28:1	9:15 11:3,14
26:24 27:4,5,9	popular 13:10	price 14:10	12:16 13:12,14
27:10,20 28:11	population	primarily	13:16 16:8
permits 23:15	4:15	29:23	19:9,10,11,14
26:20,21 27:11	portion 5:18	prior 16:1	19:14,16,17
27:11,12 28:1	7:4 8:15 15:18	24:14	20:17,20 21:8
permitted	17:19 33:16	priority 8:7	21:13,18 24:19
23:20	portions 4:24	private 26:8	29:4,7,16 30:1
permittee	possible 26:6	problem 29:18	30:15 32:4
25:10 27:8,14	33:13	33:23	prone 19:11,15
piece 5:3	possibly 16:12	process 24:11	20:21 21:4
place 17:22	posted 28:7	31:3	properties 10:8
35:15	potential 8:21	program 8:13	14:24
plan 7:24 8:1,3	10:19 12:18	9:4,8,12 11:12	property 4:1
8:4 11:13	potentially	13:8,10,22	14:4,10,13
planned 29:15	14:7 17:1	16:3 17:24,24	15:14 19:12,15
planning 1:2	prairie 20:12	18:1,4,9,14,18	20:21 21:4
2:2 8:10,11	pre 21:1,2,5,9	20:23 21:5,17	23:23 24:2,5
9:10 30:14	21:10,15 27:23	21:20 22:11	proposed 24:4
32:11 34:8	preparing 31:4	26:3,8,9,16	25:16
35:7		28:3	

[protection - reservoir]

	I	T	I
protection	quarters 30:16	recent 28:10	remit 4:9 10:14
22:18 23:4	question 7:9,23	recently 19:16	removal 25:5
provide 3:20	17:11 18:11	20:19 29:6	rendered 9:24
6:14 9:19,21	30:12	reclamation	10:1
10:2 11:23	questioning	1:14	renee 1:20
12:20 13:13	7:13	recognized	reordering
15:6,21 16:7	questions 6:3,4	7:19	23:8
17:2 18:4,24	17:10,13 18:19	recommending	replace 25:13
provided 10:5	21:22 22:1	17:6	report 17:5
10:11,22 12:15	26:12,17 28:2	reconstructed	25:10
23:11 27:22,24	28:15,18 32:3	23:16	reported 35:8
33:14	32:23	record 35:14	reporter 2:18
provides 13:1	quickly 6:9	recreational	35:5
providing 22:6	r	24:20	reports 26:1,6
24:22 25:16	r 35:4,22	redline 4:22	represent 6:17
provision 4:8	rate 6:10 10:14	25:16,18	representation
provisions 23:1	25:8	redman 1:23	18:7
24:3,6	rather 10:2	reduced 35:9	represents 4:13
public 3:21 4:4	ratio 24:24	references	request 21:11
9:23 24:20		22:23	27:21 33:5
25:18 35:17	ready 20:8 21:18	referred 35:15	requesting 17:7
purchasing		referring 22:12	requests 14:1
18:6	real 10:9,17 14:20 15:3	regarded 9:23	require 10:21
purpose 22:24		regarding	23:22 24:1
pursing 16:20	really 4:15 6:12 7:1 8:21 15:23	22:10	required 12:1
pushed 6:12	16:2 32:2	regardless 24:2	23:16 27:4
put 2:17 13:6		regional 11:14	requirements
15:18	reasonable	19:10	18:5,6,8 22:17
putting 16:22	11:22	reiterates 7:1	23:1,4,24
q	reasons 9:7	related 6:3	24:13 25:24
	recapture 15:2 receive 13:16	relation 10:1	26:3,4,14
qualitative		reminded 27:3	requiring
25:4	16:24	reminder 28:9	24:18
quantify 11:4	received 7:12	reminders	reservoir 12:23
quarter 30:14	receiving 27:10	26:19	
30:18			

[residential - socioeconomic]

residential 14:9	riparian 24:16	section 3:3,14	sewer 13:13
residents 7:14	24:24 25:1,3	22:9,22	22:6,8,18 23:5
11:24 15:21	river 5:9	sector 26:9	27:16
respectively	riverine 7:10	sediment 23:2	sewers 7:15,17
24:8	7:20 9:2	see 4:21 6:20	share 29:11
responded 6:12	road 20:2	7:2,10 16:5	32:3,6,9,11
6:17 9:2 30:2,4	role 27:9	18:12 28:13	33:9,14 34:6
response 6:10	roll 2:4,5	31:21	sharing 2:24
18:20 22:2	rolling 2:14	seeing 7:15	33:20
28:19 30:10	ron 1:22 33:10	seems 9:3 32:19	short 25:23
responses 6:24	roselle 2:14	seen 18:8	26:4,14 32:7
7:7 8:24 16:24	rudd 1:22	selected 13:23	shorthand 35:4
responsibilities	33:10,10,18,23	16:10 19:16	shovel 20:8
27:7 31:16	runoff 13:12	send 16:23	21:18
responsibility	25:8	21:23 28:7	show 6:9 16:12
3:22	S	31:20 33:4	shows 19:6,13
responsible	sake 14:14,17	34:1,1	side 4:21
27:6	sale 14:10	senior 18:23	sign 27:15
rest 17:3	salt 1:1 2:2,11	sense 9:13	signature 35:16
restoration	32:10 34:8	separately	35:21
20:11	35:7	10:16	signed 27:13
results 7:8	sanitary 27:17	series 6:2	significant 7:21
revenue 6:7	santary 27.17 santi 35:4,22	service 6:7 10:9	8:15 12:20
10:2 11:12	satellite 26:15	11:1 17:1	similar 4:1
15:13,19 18:17	scenario 15:24	23:21	32:16
review 24:11	scenarios 17:1	services 2:21	size 24:2
revised 22:22	schaumburg	3:20 4:5,10 6:5	slide 4:21 7:1
rfi 27:13	2:7,9,14,16	9:19,21,23,24	8:18 10:3
rfis 27:15	20:8	10:1,5,11,22	16:13
rich 1:15	schedule 21:12	11:8,18,19,24	slides 2:24 3:15
right 12:18	32:17,23	12:13,16 15:2	19:18
16:4 18:21	· ·	17:3	small 4:24 17:4
20:4 29:11	schroyer 1:20 seal 35:17	set 3:16 16:18	socioeconomic
32:12 34:5		severity 13:17	13:18
	second 3:1		
	30:17 32:17		

[solicit - think] Page 13

solicit 13:11	stenographic	submit 26:5	t
solution 19:23	35:9	submits 13:21	tailwater 7:14
20:2,3	step 16:16	submittals	take 11:5 28:24
soon 26:5	steps 25:15	22:17 23:2	taken 35:8
sorry 14:3	storage 23:20	submitted 26:7	talk 3:9,17 13:4
20:22 21:6	storm 7:15 10:6	subsequent	13:9 29:3,9,9
sounds 31:14	11:14 27:17	30:16	29:13,16 30:23
source 15:22	stormwater	substantive	talking 3:5
31:11	2:21 3:3,14,23	22:21 23:14	tanking 3.3 tax 4:1 9:20,24
south 1:21 2:7	3:24 4:10 5:16	suggestions	11:22
7:2,18 17:16	6:3,5 8:3,7	31:23	taxes 10:10,17
17:19 19:22	9:10,19 10:4	summary 23:13	11:20 12:2,4
31:2	12:7,13 14:9	26:1,6	15:11,14 18:17
spatial 24:23	14:12,17 19:7	supervision	technical 22:15
specific 12:15	19:9 20:11,17	3:23	25:17
17:10	21:16 23:3,22	support 11:12	tended 9:11
specifically	24:20	18:17	teresa 1:14
33:19	straddles 12:24	sure 26:10	term 25:24
specified 4:5	stream 11:4	28:23 29:1	26:4,7,14,16
24:12	20:7	32:22 33:16,21	thank 3:8 6:10
spo 28:1	streambank	34:4	7:7 16:14
spring 5:10	20:10	surprising 8:2	18:22 28:17
springinsguth	streamline	8:5 9:6	31:14,19 33:23
20:7	24:11	survey 5:24	thanks 31:24
ss 35:1	streams 17:4	6:10 16:17,23	33:7 34:3,10
stabilization	streamwood	17:12 29:21	theoretically
20:10	2:7 6:21	30:2	11:9
staff 21:15 27:7	strings 18:2,3	surveys 29:22	thing 29:19
33:14	structure 25:14	30:4	33:18
start 18:12	structures	system 12:19	things 9:16
20:14 26:23	13:18	13:13 22:6,9	12:12 18:8
state 35:1,5,18	studies 11:5	27:13,16,17	think 4:3 15:3
stated 10:3	stuff 30:1 33:20	systems 23:22	18:11 22:3
status 20:3	subject 23:24		28:20 31:8,10
	25:21		

third 30:17	typewriting	updating 23:7	w
thought 13:5	35:10	upfront 16:1	want 6:9 17:10
30:3,6,22	typical 14:2,9	upper 1:1 2:2	18:2 33:24
thousand 6:21	14:13	2:11 32:10	wanted 3:1,16
threshold	typically 18:8	34:8 35:7	5:7 8:22 13:4
24:17	u	urban 7:10,21	15:3 16:11,14
thresholds 24:6	ultimately 17:5	9:3	29:3,4,13,19
tied 9:20	under 9:21	use 15:10 28:10	30:3,19
time 11:1,5	12:18 23:24	useful 11:3	warrant 8:10
16:15 19:6		using 11:13	water 1:14 10:7
25:22 31:9	24:18 26:20,21	v	10:16 11:14
35:15	26:24 27:15		12:8 20:22
today 3:5,9	28:11	valorem 10:10	34:8
8:22 17:4,14	undersized	10:17 15:11	watershed 1:2
22:9 29:13	7:16	value 4:16 10:8	
topic 30:17	undertaking	variety 19:9	2:5,12 3:8 5:21
31:9,15	3:11	velez 1:16	11:8 12:7
topics 29:10,15	unfair 11:20	18:24 19:2,3	19:14 22:10
30:20 33:6	12:4	29:14	29:17 30:13,15
total 13:23	unincorporated	video 19:6	32:11 35:7
towards 5:9	2:8,14 19:20	village 1:20	waterways
township 1:19	union 18:7	2:13 17:19	23:10,17
1:24 2:8,9,9,10	unit 26:22	27:8,14	way 15:1 16:2
2:15,15,16,16	units 4:8 28:3	villages 27:6,8	20:4
7:3,18 19:21	unsure 8:17	violation 25:10	ways 12:12
20:1	upcoming	25:12	30:5
townships 5:5	32:13,13,21	violations	we've 3:5,11
5:13 6:1 10:13	update 18:24	25:14	32:17
transition	22:6 28:7	visit 21:19	website 21:19
26:16	updated 22:16	volume 23:20	wednesday 1:6
tributaries 5:9	25:8	24:6	2:3 32:14,18
two 19:15 33:1	updates 19:5	voluntary	went 32:18
type 9:5 11:7	22:10,14,22	20:20	wetlands 20:12
types 4:5 24:9	23:14 24:10		24:11,13
τ γρ ες τ.5 2π.7	25:7,20 27:20		wheeling 2:16
	28:5		

[willing - zoom] Page 15

_	
willing 8:19 18:12 witness 35:16 wmo 11:15 22:12,20,20 26:20,21,24 27:7,10,11 28:1,5,6,10,11 28:11 31:17 wmojobstart 27:2 wmoupdates 28:8 wondering 30:20 33:12 work 16:12 20:14 26:23 27:15 worked 19:10 29:6 working 3:6 20:1,13 22:14 22:19 23:7 30:16	yeah 7:19 32:2 year 5:23 13:14 14:13,23 16:6 20:15,24 26:2 26:3 32:5 years 3:6 11:6 z zoom 1:8 35:12
wmoundates	
·	
works 16:3	
worth 10:6	
wpass 28:3	
wright 1:17	
29:1,2,18	
30:11 31:6,14	
31:19,24 33:7	
33:16,21 34:3	
33.10,2131.3	